### Basics of AI and Machine Learning Constraint Satisfaction Problems: Arc Consistency

Daniel Gnad

Linköping University

Slides modified from Basel AI group, with permission

# Inference

## Inference

#### Inference

Derive additional constraints (here: unary or binary) that are implied by the given constraints, i.e., that are satisfied in all solutions.

example: constraint network with variables  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ with domain  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and constraints  $v_1 < v_2$  and  $v_2 < v_3$ .

it follows:

- v<sub>2</sub> cannot be equal to 3 (new unary constraint = tighter domain of v<sub>2</sub>)
- $R_{v_1v_2} = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\}$  can be tightened to  $\{\langle 1, 2 \rangle\}$  (tighter binary constraint)

v<sub>1</sub> < v<sub>3</sub>
("new" binary constraint = trivial constraint tightened)

## Trade-Off Search vs. Inference

#### Inference formally

For a given constraint network C, replace C with an equivalent, but tighter constraint network.

#### Trade-off:

- the more complex the inference, and
- the more often inference is applied,
- the smaller the resulting state space, but
- the higher the complexity per search node.

## When to Apply Inference?

different possibilities to apply inference:

- once as preprocessing before search
- combined with search: before recursive calls during backtracking procedure
  - already assigned variable v → d corresponds to dom(v) = {d}
     → more inferences possible
  - during backtracking, derived constraints have to be retracted because they were based on the given assignment
  - $\rightsquigarrow$  powerful, but possibly expensive

## Backtracking with Inference

#### function BacktrackingWithInference( $C, \alpha$ ):

- $\mbox{if } \alpha \mbox{ is inconsistent with } \mathcal{C}{:} \\ \mbox{return inconsistent}$
- if  $\alpha$  is a total assignment: return  $\alpha$

$$\mathcal{C}' := \langle V, \mathsf{dom}', (R'_{uv}) \rangle := \mathsf{copy} \text{ of } \mathcal{C}$$
  
apply inference to  $\mathcal{C}'$ 

if dom'(v)  $\neq \emptyset$  for all variables v:

```
select some variable v for which \alpha is not defined
for each d \in \text{copy of dom}'(v) in some order:
\alpha' := \alpha \cup \{v \mapsto d\}
\operatorname{dom}'(v) := \{d\}
\alpha'' := \text{BacktrackingWithInference}(\mathcal{C}', \alpha')
if \alpha'' \neq \text{inconsistent}:
return \alpha''
return inconsistent
```

## Backtracking with Inference

#### function BacktrackingWithInference( $C, \alpha$ ):

- $\mbox{if } \alpha \mbox{ is inconsistent with } \mathcal{C}{:} \\ \mbox{return inconsistent}$
- if  $\alpha$  is a total assignment: return  $\alpha$

```
\mathcal{C}' := \langle V, \mathsf{dom}', (R'_{uv}) \rangle := \mathsf{copy} \text{ of } \mathcal{C} apply inference to \mathcal{C}'
```

```
if dom'(v) \neq \emptyset for all variables v:
```

```
select some variable v for which \alpha is not defined
for each d \in \text{copy of dom}'(v) in some order:
\alpha' := \alpha \cup \{v \mapsto d\}
\operatorname{dom}'(v) := \{d\}
\alpha'' := \operatorname{BacktrackingWithInference}(\mathcal{C}', \alpha')
if \alpha'' \neq \text{inconsistent}:
return \alpha''
return inconsistent
```

### Backtracking with Inference: Discussion

- Inference is a placeholder: different inference methods can be applied.
- Inference methods can recognize unsolvability (given α) and indicate this by clearing the domain of a variable.
- Efficient implementations of inference are often incremental: the last assigned variable/value pair v → d is taken into account to speed up the inference computation.

Summary 000

# Forward Checking

## Forward Checking

We start with a simple inference method:

#### Forward Checking

Let  $\alpha$  be a partial assignment. Inference: For all unassigned variables v in  $\alpha$ , remove all values from the domain of v that are in conflict with already assigned variable/value pairs in  $\alpha$ .

#### $\rightsquigarrow$ definition of conflict as in the previous chapter

#### Incremental computation:

When adding v → d to the assignment, delete all pairs that conflict with v → d.

## Forward Checking: Discussion

properties of forward checking:

- correct inference method (retains equivalence)
- affects domains (= unary constraints), but not binary constraints
- consistency check at the beginning of the backtracking procedure no longer needed (Why?)
- cheap, but often still useful inference method
- $\rightsquigarrow$  apply at least forward checking in the backtracking procedure

In the following, we will consider more powerful inference methods.

## Arc Consistency: Definition

#### Definition (Arc Consistent)

Let  $C = \langle V, \text{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle$  be a constraint network.

The variable v ∈ V is arc consistent with respect to another variable v' ∈ V, if for every value d ∈ dom(v) there exists a value d' ∈ dom(v') with ⟨d, d'⟩ ∈ R<sub>vv'</sub>.

● The constraint network C is arc consistent, if every variable v ∈ V is arc consistent with respect to every other variable v' ∈ V.

#### remarks:

- definition for variable pair is not symmetrical
- v always arc consistent with respect to v' if the constraint between v and v' is trivial

## Arc Consistency: Example

Consider a constraint network with variables  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , domains dom $(v_1) = dom(v_2) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and the constraint expressed by  $v_1 < v_2$ .



Arc consistency of  $v_1$  with respect to  $v_2$ and of  $v_2$  with respect to  $v_1$  are violated.

## Enforcing Arc Consistency

- Enforcing arc consistency, i.e., removing values from dom(v) that violate the arc consistency of v with respect to v', is a correct inference method. (Why?)
- more powerful than forward checking (Why?)

## Enforcing Arc Consistency

- Enforcing arc consistency, i.e., removing values from dom(v) that violate the arc consistency of v with respect to v', is a correct inference method. (Why?)
- more powerful than forward checking (Why?)

Forward checking is a special case: enforcing arc consistency of all variables with respect to the just assigned variable corresponds to forward checking.

We will next consider an algorithm that enforces arc consistency.

### Processing Variable Pairs: revise

#### function revise(C, v, v'):

$$\begin{split} \langle V, \operatorname{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle &:= \mathcal{C} \\ \text{for each } d \in \operatorname{dom}(v) : \\ & \text{if there is no } d' \in \operatorname{dom}(v') \text{ with } \langle d, d' \rangle \in R_{vv'} : \\ & \text{ remove } d \text{ from } \operatorname{dom}(v) \end{split}$$

input: constraint network C and two variables v, v' of C effect: v arc consistent with respect to v'. All violating values in dom(v) are removed.











## Enforcing Arc Consistency: AC-1

#### function AC-1(C):

```
\langle V, \mathsf{dom}, (R_{uv}) \rangle := \mathcal{C}
```

#### repeat

```
for each nontrivial constraint R_{uv}:
revise(C, u, v)
revise(C, v, u)
until no domain has changed in this iteration
```

input: constraint network  $\mathcal{C}$ 

effect: transforms  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$  into equivalent arc consistent network

## AC-1: Discussion

- AC-1 does the job, but is rather inefficient.
- Drawback: Variable pairs are often checked again and again although their domains have remained unchanged.
- These (redundant) checks can be saved.
- $\rightsquigarrow$  more efficient algorithm: AC-3, not covered here

# Summary

## Summary: Inference

- inference: derivation of additional constraints that are implied by the known constraints
- vighter equivalent constraint network
  - trade-off search vs. inference
  - inference as preprocessing or integrated into backtracking

### Summary: Forward Checking, Arc Consistency

- cheap and easy inference: forward checking
  - remove values that conflict with already assigned values
- more expensive and more powerful: arc consistency
  - iteratively remove values without a suitable "partner value" for another variable until fixed-point reached